



**Table 1:** Innovance versus Liatest using age-adjusted cut-offs (n=818).

	Innovance negative	Innovance positive	Totals
Liatest negative	33 (4%)	190 (23.2%)	223 (27.3%)
Liatest positive	3 (0.4%)	592 (72.4%)	595 (72.7%)
Totals	36 (4.4%)	782 (95.6%)	818


**Figure 1:** Comparison of Innovance (I) and Liatest (L) positivity by age group using age-adjusted cut-off values (n=818).



**Figure 2:** Comparison of D-dimer assay results between Innovance and Liatest. The tests were performed on separate samples within 24 hours for 732 sample pairs. Axes are displayed in logarithmic scale. The solid black line is the line of identity, and the dashed line shows where the Innovance result was 3-fold higher than the Liatest result.



**Figure 3:** Bland–Altman plot of the difference between the Innovance and Liatest assays against the mean of the two assays. Lines represent the mean difference (central line), mean difference + 2SD (upper line) and mean difference–2SD (lower line).



**Figure 4:** Comparison of difference between test results and elapsed time of the 732 comparable samples. Three extreme outliers with a difference of >13,000 were excluded from the analysis. The dashed line shows the line of best fit ( $r^2=0.00002$ ,  $p=0.91$ ,  $y=-0.59x + 448$ ).

